ACCREDITATION & S COMMITTE	TUDENT LEAR EE – FEBRUARY		MINUTES	
Nalley College Wembers in Attendance	Horace Alexand Algie Au 🗵 Corrina Baber [Susan Bangasse Gloria Fisher 🗵 Jeremiah Gilberl Tarif Halabi 🗵 Kristin Hauge [Rick Hrdlicka 🗵 Celia Huston 🗵 Jack Jackson [Guests: Elaine	er 🖂 1 1 1 🖂	Haragewen Kinde ⊠ Kenneth Lawler ⊠ Albert Maniaol ⊠ Ricky Shabazz ⊠ James Smith ⊠ Scott Stark □ Cassandra Thomas □ Kay Weiss ⊠ Gabriel Jaramillo ⊠ Wallace Johnson ⊠	
SBVC provides quality education and services that support a diverse community of learners.				
Topic			Discussion and Action	
Approval of Minutes—January 22, 2015		Alexander motioned to	d by committee members present. Horace approve the minutes; Albert Maniaol 2 nd the ritten by general consensus.	
ACCJC Action Letter to SBVC College Recommendation 1 Status Report Follow-up Report		recent email Jeremiah of depth meaning of the volume to highlight the College committee was aware of recommendation. This during the accreditation comments. Referencing that we went from 22% more degrees and certiwith this division to construction to construct will be evaluated a continuous quality imprevaluation report, which our process is functioniand that we have a cleat to learning outcomes, wor 100% completion. Haragewen Kinde said with the end of spring semestics.	a presentation on this topic along with the Gilbert sent to the campus providing an invarning given to the campus. Recommendation I, she mentioned that the of the deficiencies and expected some type of was also discussed at the exit interview in site visit. She also noted the third-party is College Recommendation 1, it was noted to 82% complete on PLOs. Applied Tech has if icates than the rest of the campus, started implete outstanding documentation. The rises to PLOs for ongoing assessment, and PLO at least once every three years and used for rovement (CQI). Coming from the external the highlighted what the self-evaluation stated ing well and appears to be well established, an plan for complying with Standard II related we are confident that we will reach our goal we are on target and PLOs will be at 100% by ster 2015. Celia said as far as SLOs, we have dering we started with not much and put a	

A handout was distributed to the committee on a summary of ACCJC actions on institutions. Celia highlighted the various institutions in relating to being given warning and later reaffirmation. The handout showed the different recommendations to resolve deficiencies comparing other colleges who fell under the same evaluation as SBVC.

On the follow-up report, what do we do to ensure the district does their follow-up? Gloria Fisher said we need to work together with the district:

Some thoughts and ideas shared around the room:

- District system and the way they interact with the colleges.
- Hearing loud and clear what ACCJC has recommended
- HR needs to do their job
- What can we do?
- Continue to make our voices heard and not assume things are going as they should.
- Gloria Fisher said there will be more information at the forum.

Kay Weiss mentioned that it seems that the district is pushing back on the college. Discussion ensued on how we make sure the board is aware that it is the district that is causing the issues and not the college. Maybe working with HR to explain to the board where we are and project where we will be in March 2016. Each area is supposed to report their specific issues. We would like to have the district's plans addressed back to the college.

Further discussion ensued on what is being written in the papers blaming faculty. Referenced recent press release from the district. What is the message that we need to take forward to the district. Feedback from the committee: They should ask us.

Gloria Fisher will be meeting with the district and should have more detailed information at the next accreditation committee meeting.

Celia highlighted the agenda item and in reference to how to make accreditation an ongoing process?

- Address recommendations to resolve deficiencies
- Address recommendations for improvement
- Address actionable planning

Con't

ACCJC Action Letter to SBVC

- College Recommendation 1
 - Status Report

Follow-up Report

Planning for the Mid-Term Report	 Outline ongoing things that we need to be doing. Form subcommittees to look at things? We can always break the cycle and have a few more meetings. Looking at the institution standards or core comps and campus dialogues, schedule some and look are specific areas. 		
 Actionable Improvement Items College Recommendations for Improvement 	 4. Having a cycle of continuous quality improvement. 5. Could get reports from different committees. 6. Not only assessed, but what do we do with it? 7. Now a good time to look at the new standards, look at any gaps and start to plan and address them now. 8. Create and maintain a database 		
	One thing about being put on warning is you have to do something.		
New ACCJC Standards	Celia proposed to review with the committee the new standards adopted in June 2014 with the cross-walk and glossary. The new standards will realign our committee current structure. Ref. the accreditation website, something to discuss in the future. Brainstormed ideas: • Work on standards individually (or substandard committee) • Do this now as a past practice and not just for accreditation self-evaluation. • Can start the subsection at next meeting. • What did we learn on the new standards (can report back to the committee). Example: who will handle the review of the mission statement? • Making sure the district is doing what they need to do. • Maybe we need a representative from district to attend our meetings (Chancellor or designee)? • Take local ownership vs. what happens at the district. • We need to have a voice here and that the board understands what we are doing. • We can start with the board on the recommendations at the board levelcommunication, dialogue, and training.		
	Summary of discussion: 1. College recommendation: By March 16, 2016. We are on track to have done by end of the spring semester 2015. 2. Better opportunity for communication at the district, invite a representative to attend meetings and make sure deficiencies are addressed. 3. Look at accreditation standards cross-walk; those are the areas that need to be addressed.		

Discussion Items:

Next meeting:	February 26, 2015, 1:00-2:30 p.m. All meetings will be held in the President's Conference Room, ADSS-207, unless otherwise noted.	
	Discussion ensued on providing training on the SLO Cloud through Professional Development.	
	is current and working backwards. Discussion ensued on the current cut and paste process as it takes more effort to go backwards when we need to move forward. Unless there is a need to absolutely cut/paste, and would better utilize the help of the FWS help and do a more efficient job.	
Other:	Celia noted that she has the assistance of a federal work study student loaned to her from Research and Planning to help with the SLO updates that are outstanding. Kay Weiss suggested starting the SLO completion process with what	
	Haragewen Kinde noted that the one-page data sheet for SLOs is ready to go out to departments as we begin a new three-year cycle.	
	Discussion ensued on the need to appoint a chair and the committee agreed that they would Celia Huston to continue as the chair for the accreditation committee, if she wanted to. Celia agreed to stay on as the committee chair.	
	Further discussion ensued on following protocol to ensure the board receives training on accreditation, e.g., Chancellor reports to the board, represents the board, and getting him to report to the board. What is the best approach? The president was appointed this task, and will be on next meeting's agenda to report back.	
	4. Mid-term report coming up.5. Keep the process going on a sustainable process?6. District to take more ownership on issues addressed to tem and not blaming the campus.	