



Origination 10/2011
 Last Approved 07/2024
 Effective 07/2024
 Last Revised 07/2024
 Next Review 07/2034

Chapter Lead Jose Torres:
 Chapter
 1&2(BOT),3(CC)&6
 Policy Area Chapter 2
 Board of
 Trustees
 References 10+1, CCLC |
 Good
 Practice/
 Optional

AP 2410 Board Policies and Administrative Procedures

The Policies and Procedures Advisory Committee (PPAC) to the Chancellor's Council is charged with updating, creating, developing, and systematically reviewing Board Policies (BP)/ Administrative Procedures (AP), also known as Policies and Procedures (P&P). This task is accomplished through a cyclical schedule which begins each academic year. The process is intended to:

- Efficiently allow for regular evaluation of policies and procedures.
- Provide faculty, staff, and students an opportunity to express opinions, and give those opinions every reasonable consideration.
- Ensure the right of academic senates to assume primary responsibility for making recommendations in the areas of curriculum and academic standards.

I. STARTING POINT

- Policies & Procedures are incorporated into the *Review Schedule* in one of three ways: *Annual Review*, *Legal Updates*, or *Other Requests*.
- Policies & Procedures are reviewed in pairs, when applicable, to ensure the AP supports the direction outlined in the BP.
- BPs require Board approval. APs are submitted to the Board for informational purposes; however, the Board may direct revisions of any APs judged to be inconsistent with BPs.
- The Chancellor's designee facilitates this process.

A. Annual Review

The Board will receive a list annually and prioritize the policies that need to be reviewed. Prior to

the start of each academic year, the Chancellor's designee will take the necessary steps to initiate review of 10% of SBCCD's P&Ps, including:

1. Identify appropriate P&Ps for review.
2. Work with Academic Senate Presidents to determine which P&Ps fall under 10+1.
3. Notify Chapter Leads responsible for review.
4. Develop a timeline.
5. Present a *Review Schedule* to PPAC in September.
6. Maintain and publish the *Review Schedule* on PPAC webpage throughout the year.

B. Legal Updates

SBCCD keeps current with changes in law or accreditation standards through a subscription to the Community College League of California. This service issues periodic legal updates which promote regulatory compliance and familiarity with industry best practices. Legal updates do not need Board review prior to going through the process.

Upon receipt of a Legal Update, the Chancellor's designee will take the necessary steps to initiate review of affected P&Ps, including:

1. Add P&Ps to the Review Schedule.
2. Work with Academic Senate Presidents to determine which P&Ps fall under 10+1.
3. Notify Chapter Leads responsible for review.

C. Other Requests

Other requests for P&P review may be Chapter Lead-initiated, or come from a trustee, a staff member, or a student. All such requests should be submitted to the Chancellor's designee who will take the necessary steps to initiate review, including:

1. Add P&Ps to the Review Schedule.
2. Work with Academic Senate Presidents to determine which P&Ps fall under 10+1.
3. Notify Chapter Leads responsible for review.

II. CHAPTER LEAD REVIEW & RECOMMENDATION

Chapter Leads are the responsible "owners" of SBCCD P&Ps. These individuals are charged with reviewing and making recommendations based on general correctness and operational feasibility, including alignment with current practices, legal considerations, mandates, etc. SBCCD Chapter Leads are listed in the Definitions section of AP 2410.

- Chapter Leads receive requests to review P&Ps, and/or suggestions to revise P&Ps, from the Chancellor's designee.
- Chapter Leads are encouraged to collaborate with appropriate constituent groups before making a recommendation to PPAC. ALL P&Ps designated 10+1 will use Academic Senate as the primary recommending body.
- Chapter Leads return a recommendation to the Chancellor's designee based on the outcome of

the review (i.e., reviewed with no change, reviewed with minor revisions, reviewed with content change, suggested deletion, etc.)

- The Chancellor's designee assigns the recommendation a rating of *Level 1 | Info Only*, *Level 2 | Minor Review (Non 10+1)*, or *Level 3 | Extensive Review* and includes item on the PPAC agenda.


III. CONSTITUENT FEEDBACK TO FINAL APPROVAL

Constituent feedback is collected through the appointed PPAC representatives and reviewed at committee meetings. The *Review Schedule* is posted on the PPAC webpage, and a report of PPAC activity is sent districtwide to keep all SBCCD employees informed.

To promote efficiency and respect the time of PPAC and constituent group members, P&Ps are categorized by level of review. This is to streamline the process and promote efficiency. PPAC members will assess review levels to ensure appropriateness.

A. Level 1 | Info Only


- These appear on the PPAC agenda for confirmation of review level assignment and are generally comprised of items that are:
 - Reviewed with no changes.
 - Reviewed with only minor clerical edits or legal reference changes.
 - Chapter 2 Board of Trustees P&Ps that do not fall under 10+1.
- All items deemed appropriately placed in *Level 1* by PPAC members are:
 1. Simultaneously forwarded to constituent groups for information only, forwarded to Chancellor's Council, and scheduled for BOT first read.
 2. The month following BOT first read, items should appear on the BOT agenda for second read and final approval.
 3. Items that do not receive BOT approval should begin the cycle again under the *Other Requests* method.



B. Level 2 | Minor Review (Non 10+1)

- These appear on the PPAC agenda for confirmation of review level assignment and are generally comprised of recommendations involving:
 - Existing P&Ps which are simple and non-controversial.
 - New P&Ps that are simple and non-controversial.


- All items deemed appropriately placed in Level 2 | *Minor Review (Non 10+1)* by PPAC members begin the following review process.
 1. PPAC representatives solicit constituent feedback which is uploaded via Microsoft Teams.
 2. Constituent feedback is forwarded to Chapter Leads to be reviewed for operational applicability and feasibility, and a determination on any suggested edits is made.
 3. At its next meeting, PPAC members review constituent feedback and the corresponding Chapter Lead determination, responding to the determination with *No Changes or Minor Edits*. (*Substantial Edits* are not expected for *Level 2 | Minor Review* recommendations.)
 - a. No Changes: Items with no changes are forwarded to Chancellor's Council and scheduled for BOT first read.
 - b. Minor Edits: When appropriate, minor edits are incorporated into P&Ps, and Chapter Leads made aware. These items are then forwarded to Chancellor's Council and scheduled for BOT first read.
 - c. Substantial Edits: Substantial edits are not expected for *Level 2 | Minor Review* recommendations. Any substantial edits will cause these items to be moved to *Level 3 | Extensive Review*.
 4. The month following BOT first read, items should appear on the BOT agenda for second read and final approval.
 5. Items that do not receive BOT approval should begin the cycle again under the *Other Requests* method.



C. Level 3 | Extensive Review

- These appear on the PPAC agenda for confirmation of review level assignment and are generally comprised of recommendations involving:
 - Existing P&Ps with substantial changes and/or subject to 10+1
 - New P&Ps that are controversial, complex and/or subject to 10+1
- If consensus cannot be achieved on P&Ps falling under 10+1, Chancellor's Council will be informed, and the issue referred to Chancellor's Cabinet to make a determination.

- All items deemed appropriately placed in *Level 3 / Extensive Review* by PPAC members begin the following review process. Constituent review, especially for the Academic Senate, may take up to two sessions or four weeks. Constituent representatives should keep PPAC members updated as to the work of their constituent groups on these items.
 1. PPAC representatives solicit constituent feedback which is uploaded via Microsoft Teams.
 2. Constituent feedback is forwarded to Chapter Leads to be reviewed for operational applicability and feasibility, and a determination on any suggested edits is made.
 3. At its next meeting, PPAC members review constituent feedback and the corresponding Chapter Lead determination, responding to the determination with *No Changes*, *Minor Edits*, or *Substantial Edits*.
 - a. No Changes: Items with no changes are forwarded to Chancellor's Council and scheduled for BOT first read.
 - b. Minor Edits: When appropriate, minor edits are incorporated into P&Ps, and Chapter Leads made aware. These items are then forwarded to Chancellor's Council and scheduled for BOT first read.
 - c. Substantial Edits: Any substantial edits will be forwarded to the Chapter Leads to be reviewed for operational applicability and feasibility.
 - i. *Chapter Lead Acceptance of Edits* results in P&Ps being forwarded to Chancellor's Council and scheduled for BOT first read.
 - ii. For *Edits Requiring Further Discussion*, Chapter Leads are invited to attend PPAC meeting to discuss, resulting in acceptance or failure to reach consensus.
 - iii. When *Consensus Cannot be Reached on Edits*, Chancellor's Council will be informed, and P&Ps referred to Chancellor's Cabinet for a determination, which will then be scheduled for BOT first read.
 4. The month following BOT first read, items should appear on the BOT agenda for second read and final approval.
 5. Items that do not receive BOT approval should begin the cycle again under the *Other Requests* method.



DEFINITIONS

10+1 or Academic and Professional: Relating to policy development and implementation matters for the following:

1. Curriculum, including establishing prerequisites and placing courses within disciplines;
2. Degree and certificate requirements;
3. Grading policies;
4. Educational program development;
5. Standards or policies regarding student preparation and success;
6. District and college governance structures, as related to faculty roles;
7. Faculty roles and involvement in accreditation processes, including self-study and annual reports;
8. Policies for faculty professional development activities;
9. Processes for program review;
10. Processes for institutional planning and budget development; and
11. Other academic and professional matters as are mutually agreed upon between the governing board and the academic senate.

AP: Administrative Procedure(s)

BOT: Board of Trustees

BP: Board Policy(ies)

Chapters and Chapter Leads: Chapter Leads are the responsible “owners” of SBCCD P&Ps. These individuals are charged with reviewing and making recommendations based on general correctness and operational feasibility, including alignment with current practices, legal considerations, mandates, etc. SBCCD Chapter Leads are listed below.

- Chapter 1: The District | BOT, Chancellor (Lead)
- Chapter 2: Board of Trustees | BOT, Chancellor (Lead)
- Chapter 3: General Institution | Chancellor (Lead) and Chancellor’s Cabinet
- Chapter 4: Academic Affairs | Chancellor’s Designee(s) and Academic Senate Presidents (Co-Leads)
- Chapter 5: Student Services | Chancellor’s Designee(s) and Academic Senate Presidents (Co-Leads)
- Chapter 6: Business & Fiscal Services | Executive Vice Chancellor (Lead) and Vice Presidents of Administrative Services
- Chapter 7: Human Resources | Vice Chancellor of Human Resources and Police Services (Lead)

P&P: Board Procedure(s) and Administrative Policy(ies)

PPAC: Policies and Procedures Advisory Committee, an advisory body to the Chancellor’s Council,

charged with updating, creating, developing, and systematically reviewing BPs/APs.

COPY



References:

Education Code Section 70902;
ACCJC Accreditation Standards 4.4

Attachments

- [AP 2410 Board Policies & Admin Procedures - Comments](#)
- [AP 2410 Board Policies & Admin Procedures - Legal Citations](#)
- [AP 2410 Board Policies & Admin Procedures - revised.docx](#)
- [AP 2410 Board Policies & Admin Procedures-with Rejected Changes - Comments](#)
- [AP 2410 BOT Committee, AS, and BOT Chair comments 2/6/18](#)

Approval Signatures

Step Description

Approver

Date

COPY