



Origination 05/2013
Last Approved 04/2021
Effective 04/2021
Last Revised 04/2021
Next Review 04/2031

Chapter Lead Kristina Hannon:
Chapter 3(CC)&7
Policy Area Chapter 7
Human Resources
References 10+1, CCLC |
Required to Meet
Accrediting Standards

AP 7150 Evaluation

(Replaces current SBCCD AP 7251)

Frequency of Evaluation

Each manager will be evaluated once per year for the first two years of employment and every three years thereafter. Evaluations may be held on a more frequent basis as appropriate.

For managers within the first year of evaluation, the supervising manager and manager being evaluated will establish goals and objectives to be accomplished. The supervising manager will be solely responsible for providing an evaluation within the first six months of hire. In the subsequent year, and each year thereafter, the evaluation committee process will be instituted.

Interim Managers will be evaluated during the sixth month of interim appointment, and annually thereafter if the assignment is greater than one semester in length. The supervising manager will be solely responsible for providing the evaluation. A survey will be sent campus/district wide for all interims when appropriate. Evaluations may be held on a more frequent basis as appropriate.

EVALUATION TIMELINE:

No later than October 1 of each calendar year the supervising manager and manager will meet to initiate the evaluation process. This meeting will entail the review of prior goals, if applicable, and the establishment of new goals for the current year.

By November 1 of each year, the committee shall be formed. The committee will convene and provide a written report to the supervising manager no later than December 31 of each calendar year.

The final evaluation report shall be provided to the manager no later than January 31 of each calendar year.

Goals/Objectives

Each manager will meet with his/her supervising manager at the beginning of each academic year to review the goals and objectives set for the prior year and to discuss the extent to which the goals and objectives were met. They will review the job description and, by mutual agreement, revise, update, or set new short- and long-range goals and objectives.

In an effort to ensure that evaluations are completed in a timely manner, the following process will be followed by Human Resources:

1. The supervising manager will receive an email from Human Resources notifying him or her that an evaluation of the manager is due.
2. The person to whom the supervising manager reports will also receive the email and will be responsible for ensuring the evaluation is completed and forwarded to Human Resources within the required timeframe.
3. The President of the College and the appropriate Vice President will be copied on the email.

Committee

In the case of campus Directors, Deans, and Vice Presidents, the committee shall include the supervising manager as chairperson, one manager appointed by the President, one faculty member appointed by the Academic Senate, and one classified employee appointed by CSEA.

In the case of the College Presidents, the committee shall include the supervising manager as chairperson, one manager appointed by the Chancellor, two faculty members appointed by the Academic Senate, and one classified employee appointed by CSEA.

In the case of the district-level Directors, Executive Directors, Associate Vice Chancellor(s), Vice Chancellor(s) and Executive Vice Chancellor(s), the committee shall include the supervising manager as chairperson, one manager appointed by the Chancellor, one faculty member appointed by the Academic Senate at San Bernardino Valley College, one faculty member appointed by the Academic Senate at Crafton Hills College, and one classified employee appointed by CSEA.

All supervisors and managers will be evaluated by the supervising manager.

In the event a committee member is unable to participate, an alternate can be appointed if selected prior to the first committee meeting. Once the committee has met, no new members may be added.

At the discretion of the supervising manager, committee meetings may take place using teleconference and/or video conferencing.

Campus/District Survey

As appropriate to the assignment, the supervising manager shall seek written feedback from the campus and/or district community. In obtaining this feedback, the supervising manager shall use an approved evaluation form. Using the approved form, the supervising manager shall seek input from applicable managers, faculty, classified staff and any others who are in a position to know how

effectively the manager is performing assigned responsibilities. Responses on the approved form shall be signed, and the supervising manager shall prepare a consolidated summary of the ratings and comments. Original survey documents will be destroyed once the consolidated summary is prepared. A copy of the consolidated summary will be made available to the committee.

Evaluatee

Prior to the evaluation conference, the manager being evaluated will submit, to their supervising manager, a written self-evaluation of his/her performance, which shall be based on the approved job description and previously established, mutually agreed upon goals and objectives. The manager may submit a portfolio of representative work, or any other items he/she considers appropriate.

Evaluation Report

The supervising manager will produce a written evaluation report by January 31. The report shall include:

1. A summary of duties from the job description, which shall serve as a basis for the evaluation.
2. A summary list of the goals and objectives from the prior year that have been mutually agreed upon by the manager and his/her supervising manager.
3. An assessment of the extent to which the manager meets his/her stated goals and objectives.
4. An assessment of the management and leadership strengths of the manager.
5. The identification of any areas in which the manager can improve his/her performance or management skills.
6. A copy of the consolidated summary of the ratings and comments

The written report shall specify one of the following:

1. Commendation for superior performance;
2. Confirmation of satisfactory performance;
3. Recommendation for improvement and/or further evaluation as indicated by unsatisfactory performance.

The evaluation record shall be read and signed by the supervising manager and the manager before being placed in the manager's file. The manager shall receive a copy of the evaluation report, and will have an opportunity to attach a written response within 15 working days. The response may offer clarification, additional information, or a rebuttal, as the person being evaluated may wish.

An official file of evaluation reports shall be maintained in Human Resources. Evaluation reports shall not be retained in the file beyond a four-year period if the manager requests that they be expunged.

There will be only two copies of a completed evaluation. One copy will remain in the possession of the manager being evaluated and the original will become a part of the official file in Human Resources.

Procedures in the Case of Unsatisfactory Performance of Responsibilities

When a manager's performance is judged unsatisfactory, corrective measures will be initiated. The manager shall develop a work plan with measurable goals, objectives and a timetable to correct the

areas judged unsatisfactory. This work plan shall be reviewed and approved by the supervising manager (or Board of Trustees, in the case of the Chancellor) who shall be responsible for monitoring and assisting the manager with the corrective measures. If desired, the supervising manager will work with the manager to identify a mentor to provide guidance and advice.

In order to ascertain the extent to which corrective measures have succeeded, the re-evaluation of the manager shall be undertaken as soon as deemed appropriate by the supervising manager, but in no case later than six months after the initial findings of the supervising manager. The re-evaluation process shall include the submission of new goals and objectives to the supervising manager, the formation of a new evaluation committee, the collection of new survey data, and the preparation of an updated evaluation report that assesses the progress (or lack of progress) made since the last evaluation.

Nonrenewal of Contract, Dismissal, or Penalty of Limited Duration

In the case of unsatisfactory progress following re-evaluation, managers shall be notified of contract non-renewal, dismissal, or penalty of limited duration by action of the Board of Trustees in accordance with the Education Code.

Non-Management Employees

Refer to the collective bargaining agreements regarding evaluation processes for applicable collective bargaining groups.

The criteria for confidential employee evaluations shall be based on board policy, the job descriptions, and performance goals and objectives developed mutually by the confidential employee and the supervisor.

Additional documents related to evaluation can be reviewed on the Human Resources web-page.

Also see BP/AP 2435 titled Evaluation of the Chancellor

Reference:

Accreditation Standard III.A.5 (formerly III.A.1.b)

Approval Signatures

Step Description	Approver	Date
Final Board Approval	Kelly Goodrich	04/2021
Reviewed and Approved per AP 2410	Kelly Goodrich	04/2021