

**SBVC**  
**Program Review**

March 13, 2026  
9:15-10:45 a.m.

**MINUTES**

Program Review Committee Charge  
For regular programmatic assessment on campus, the Program Review Committee examines and evaluates the resource needs and effectiveness of all instructional and service areas. These review processes occur on one-, two-, and four-year cycles as determined by the District, College, and other regulatory agencies. Program Review is conducted by authorization of the SBVC Academic Senate.

**Members:**

	A	P		A	P
Bethany Tasaka Co-Chair	X		Jaime Garcia		X
Danielle Graham Co-Chair		X	Jessica Gordon		X
Gabriel Martinez Larzo- Co-Chair		X	Joanne Hinojosa		X
Abeir Israeil		X	Kimberly Johnson	X	
Angie Vogel		X	Leslie Gregory	X	
Anthony Blacksher	X		Melissa Romero		X
Brandy Major		X	Miguel Ortiz		X
Christina Torres		X	Natalie Peterkin		X
Dominique Johnson		X	Nathan Yearyear		X
Doris Ontiveros		X	Stacy Meyer	X	
Ebony Perez	X		Tim Hosford		X
Eric Morden		X	Victoria Anemelu		X
Fili Michel		X	Yvette Lee		X
Isaac Hayden	X				
Shyla Cobbett Admin.		X			
Guests					

TOPIC	DISCUSSION	FURTHER ACTION
1) Call to Order and Roll Call	Quorum established at 9:55 meeting called to order.	
2) Approve a. March 13, 2026, Agenda b. February 20, 2026, Minutes	March 13, 2026, Agenda and February 20, 2026, Minutes: Motion made by T. Hosford, seconded by V. Anemelu, to approve both. Motion carried.	
3) Participatory Governance Changes a. New charge b. New membership c. Meeting days / times / frequencies d. Survey for committees and chairs.	Brief update on the participatory governance restructuring. The committee is expected to transition to an Academic Senate committee. Members were encouraged to review the draft language on the Participatory Governance page and complete survey regarding committee priorities, charge, and membership.	Members to complete survey and review draft language.
4) ASPIRE a. How is reading going so far? b. Form for missing reports in Meta	Members were reminded to continue reviewing assigned reports. Due to issues with CurricUNET Meta, members were instructed to submit feedback through the Microsoft Form instead.	Members to use the Microsoft Form for feedback.

c. Themes	The first box is for program feedback; the second box is for committee themes that may appear across reports.	
<b>Discussion Items:</b> 1) Recalibration period a. Fall 2026 reevaluation of process and adjustment for new committee b. ASPIRE Year 4 begins in Spring 2027 i. Reports due at the end of Spring 2027 2) Feedback on how due dates and Stragglers' Day went? 3) Website Feedback – things to change/update (5 minutes) 1) What's one thing we can change	1) The committee briefly discussed using Fall 2026 as a recalibration period to review the Program Review process and align timelines with institutional planning and budget cycles. Additional discussion will occur at future meetings. 2) Item listed but not discussed due to time constraints. 3) Item listed but not discussed.	<a href="#">Continue discussion at future meeting.</a>
<b>Unfinished Business</b> 1) Faculty Hiring Prioritization (Discussion) a. Work group for full process – update	Item listed but not discussed due to limited time.	
Meeting Adjourn	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Meeting adjourned at <b>10:35 a.m.</b></li> </ul>	

Additional Information: