SUMMARY
EPPS Recommendations
on District Board Policies and Administrative Procedures
Items from the Oct 15 2026 PPAC agenda | EPPS review: Oct 14&28, 2026
Doc Update October 30, 2026

Key for Senators

- **Editorial Recommendations:** These types of recommendations improve wording, consistency, or format without changing what the policy requires, permits, prohibits, or assigns.
- **Substantive Recommendations:** These types of recommendations would change what the policy requires, permits, prohibits, or assigns, including changes to authority, roles, processes, scope, or alignment with law and regulation, as well as the addition of missing elements. They appear as recommended language edits shown in green as per PPAC Review Notes Key.
- **Reviews and no recommendations** are also indicated.

Substantive recommendations involving academic and professional matters under Title 5 §53200(c) are subject to the consultation requirements of Title 5 §53203 and the District's policy on participation in local decision making.

Note that for relevant recommendations a 10+1 area was listed accordingly.

Also keep in mind Ed Code §70902(b)(7) guarantees faculty, staff, and students the right to participate effectively in district and college governance, which is broader than 10+1. That gives the Senate standing on BP & AP items without 10+1 assignment. This also aligns with BP 2510 Participation in Local Decision Making.

When substantive and/or editorial recommendations are made these are incorporated in a separate Word document containing the full policy/procedure language.

SUMMARY TABLE

Board Policy or Administrative Procedure	Substantive Recommendations	Editorial Recommendations
BP 6250 Budget Management	0	0
AP 6305 Reserves	0	0
BP 6450 Wireless or Cellular Telephone Use	0	0
AP 6450 Wireless or Cellular Telephone Use	0	0
BP 6700 Civic Center & Other Facilities Use	0	0
AP 6700 Civic Center & Other Facilities Use	0	0
BP 6750 Parking	0	0
Total	**0**	**0**

District Designation Level 1: Information Only | 1BP

BP 6250 BUDGET MANAGEMENT

10+1 area: (10) Processes for institutional planning and budget development.
Reviewed. No Level 1 recommendations
Comments: Concerns exceed Level 1 scope. Clarify how prudent reserve level is set; review automatic transfer of excess revenues to contingencies; verify transfer voting requirements against Title 5 §§58307–58308. Recommend PPAC Level 2 or 3 review alongside AP 6305.

AP 6305 RESERVES

10+1 area: (10) Processes for institutional planning and budget development.
Reviewed. No Level 1 recommendations
Comments: *Concerns exceed Level 1 scope. Add documented risk assessment, minimum and upper reserve targets, regular Board reporting, and replenishment and excess use plans. Recommend PPAC Level 2 or 3 review alongside BP 6250.*

BP 6450 WIRELESS OR CELLULAR TELEPHONE USE

10+1 area: Not a 10+1 area.
Faculty impact: Reimbursement and required personal device use are working conditions within SBCCDTA's scope of bargaining (Gov. Code §3543.2).
Reviewed. No Level 1 recommendations
Comments: Concerns exceed Level 1 scope. "No expectation of privacy" is overly absolute; eligibility criteria absent; driving references omit Vehicle Code §23123.5. Reimbursement concerns referred to SBCCDTA. Recommend PPAC Level 2 or 3 review.

AP 6450 WIRELESS OR CELLULAR TELEPHONE USE

10+1 area: Not a 10+1 area.
Faculty impact: Reimbursement and required personal device use are working conditions within SBCCDTA's scope of bargaining (Gov. Code §3543.2).
Reviewed. No Level 1 recommendations
Comments: Concerns exceed Level 1 scope. Privacy language overly absolute; no Districtwide eligibility criteria; outdated position titles. $50 cap and required personal device use (e.g., MFA) referred to SBCCDTA. Recommend PPAC Level 2 or 3 review.

BP 6700 CIVIC CENTER & OTHER FACILITIES USE

10+1 area: Not a 10+1 area.
Reviewed. No Level 1 recommendations

AP 6700 CIVIC CENTER & OTHER FACILITIES USE

10+1 area: Not a 10+1 area.
Reviewed. No Level 1 recommendations

BP 6750 PARKING

10+1 area: Not a 10+1 area.
Reviewed. No Level 1 recommendations.
Comments: Concerns exceed Level 1 scope. Policy should reflect student centered principles, given that fees are discretionary under Ed Code §76360. Recommend PPAC Level 2 or 3 review in consultation with ASG/Student Senate.

AP 6750 PARKING

10+1 area: Not a 10+1 area.
Reviewed but with concerns. Recommending for a near future review with a PPAC Level 2 or 3 revision.
Comments: Concerns exceed Level 1 scope. Parking permits do not guarantee parking space; noncredit students pay despite tuition free enrollment; permits limited to one vehicle at a time. Recommend PPAC Level 2 or 3 review in consultation with ASG/Student Senate.