

Academic Senate SBVC	AD/SS 207 3:00 - 4:30
-------------------------	--------------------------

Minutes of November 6, 2013

Time	Topic	Discussion	Further Action
	Call to Order		
	Approval of Minutes from October 16, 2013	Note: the October 30, 2013 meeting did not have an official quorum; hence, minutes were not voted as approved.	Motion by A Aguilar-Kitibutr for approval of the Minutes of October 16, 2013 2nd by Y Lee Voice vote - unanimous
	President's Report	<p>J Gilbert gave his President's report (attached), addressing the following: Fall Plenary: upcoming topics include multiple measures, emergency preparedness, egregious student cheating, ethical leadership, CI-D and TMCs, repeatability, accreditation, MOOCs. Speakers: ACCJC's Jack Pond, Norv Wellsbry presenting "New Accreditation Standards: Feedback Needed," Vice Chancellor Patrick Perry presenting "Scorecard, Salary Surfer, and What's Next – Scripting the Future of Technology."</p> <p>A session on basic skills addresses:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Given equitable funding, what are academic reasons for serving basic skills students in credit, noncredit, or adult ed? 2. If the CC system were to move credit basic skills courses into NO or adult ed, what statewide issues must be addressed? 3. How to ensure that students who exit from NC basic skills courses are ready to enter credit basic skills or transfer level credit courses? 	

Academic Senate SBVC		AD/SS 207 3:00 - 4:30	
Time	Topic	Discussion	Further Action
	New Business	<p>State Legislation Update: Faculty Association of California Community Colleges (FACCC) executive director Jonathan Lightman encouraged membership and addressed pertinent issues. FACCC, a 60-year professional membership association, is described by Sacramento News & Review as “the best political voice for community college faculty in the state,” working in cooperation with the unions and Senate. The budget spread depending on Proposition 30 was \$500M (210M+ rather than \$338M deficit.) In FACCC’s estimation, CA administration forecasts are too pessimistic; the group argues that surplus funds should be devoted to 1) salaries, 2) more courses. The first COLA since 2008 since 2008 has been secured for CC’s, and not simply given to the State Chancellor’s office. \$25M is available for greater alignment between K-12 and CCs on the non-credit side (AB 86). FACCC hopes that with future funding expansions, SBVC’s FT/PT ratio of 53% can approach the statewide average (57%).</p> <p>Upcoming relevant legislation: AB 595 restores top-tier registration for EOPS and DSPS AB 955 two-tier privatization SB 173 restrictions on adult education (two-year bill) SB 440 transfer pathways between CCs and CSU (opposed by academic senate) SB 520 MOOCs and online ed and contracting out work</p> <p>Future priorities: faculty staffing & compensation, accreditation, fighting privatization, retirement, student services</p> <p>Lightman addressed the reality of “loops” where industry foundations propose problems, then study them with results accruing to their own benefit. Also shared was that five mayors have filed an initiative with the State attorney general to unilaterally reduce faculty pensions. For the March 2-3 Advocacy and Policy Conference in Sacramento, he recommended keynote speaker Sasha Abramsky: “The American Way of Poverty: How the Other Half Still Lives.” (The conference provides attendees the opportunity to dialogue with their assembly representative.) He gave details about the packet, including the President’s stated plan to rely more heavily on MOOCs, pay-for-performance review, and college rankings.</p> <p>A Aguilar Kitibutr commended FACCC for its advocacy of the hiring of 2,000 counselors statewide. Lightman said that the goal is one counselor per 500 students; and the objective should be to get paid for opportunity, not simply performance.</p> <p>Accreditation Standard 3A/D Small Group Review: C Huston was absent; J Gilbert reported that 3D wasn’t ready for review. As chair, he requested feedback regarding 1A and 1B.</p>	

Academic Senate SBVC		AD/SS 207 3:00 - 4:30	
Time	Topic	Discussion	Further Action
	Old Business	<p>Committee Charge and/or Membership Changes <u>Curriculum:</u> L Hector distributed details (attached). Along with Program Review, Curriculum has adopted the 10% model for divisional representation. The AP 2225 for Collegial Consultation Committees has minor changes: "Curriculum is <i>considered</i> an academic matter"; "considered" is deleted. Membership guidelines now read: "Membership is comprised of the VPI (or designee) and one other manager, a Curriculum Chair or Co-Chairs, <i>at least two</i> (deleted) faculty members from each division as recommended by the Academic Senate, two students, and two Instruction Office staff members (Administrative Curriculum Coordinator and Schedule/Catalog Data Specialist) appointed by the VPI (in collaboration with CSEA) to serve as a resource to the committee." Supported changes will be forwarded to College Council and District Assembly.</p> <p><u>Program Review:</u> S Lillard distributed details (attached) with new membership language: "Membership is comprised of at least 3 VPs or managers (or their designees) as appointed by the President, 10% faculty representation by Division, at least 3 classified staff members as appointed by the Classified Senate/CSEA, and one student." O McGinnis asked if the President could then appoint three management designees from one single area. By default, a VP's designee would have to be a manager. It was suggested that Program Review readdress and delete the phrase <i>or managers</i>.</p> <p><u>Accreditation/SLOs:</u> J Gilbert readdressed C Huston's presentation. Regarding SLOs, it's felt this needs to be a faculty-driven process; therefore, the phrase "is authorized by the Academic Senate" ought to be added to the charge (as with Curriculum, Program Review, Matriculation). College Council agrees that SLOs are faculty-driven, but suggested split charges: one for Accreditation and SLO's (authorized by Senate). Accreditation Committee suggests, since the charges/responsibilities are so delineated, there should be two split committees.</p>	<p>Motion by A Aguilar-Kitibutr to approve the language changes. 2nd by S Lillard. Voice vote – unanimous</p> <p>Motion by J Hoyt to leave the Accreditation/SLO Committee and charge unchanged, but add the faculty SLO co-chair to the Senate agenda and update bylaws to add the chair as a member of Senate Executive Committee with reevaluation in two years. 2nd by A Aguilar, Kitibutr. Voice vote - unanimous</p>

Academic Senate SBVC		AD/SS 207 3:00 - 4:30	
Time	Topic	Discussion	Further Action
	Committees	<p> Ed Policy - Student Services - no report. Personnel Policy - no report. Career & Tech Ed - no report. Financial Policy - no report. Equity and Diversity - no report. Legislative Policy - no report. </p> <p> Elections – In C Huston's absence, C Gilbert announced that the ballot would be going out today. Contact C Huston if not. </p> <p> Curriculum – no report. Program Review – no report. </p> <p> Professional Development – R Hamdi said that accreditation standards workshops are scheduled for fall and spring; the first will next Tuesday, November 12. The purpose is to gather historical information for the different standards. Tightening up language and forms will take place in spring. A subcommittee for Staff Appreciation Awareness Week will be during spring break. Flex Day will be renamed; she is developing sessions. </p>	

Academic Senate SBVC		AD/SS 207 3:00 - 4:30	
Time	Topic	Discussion	Further Action
	Additional Reports	<p>College President's Report –</p> <p>SBCCD-CTA – S Lillard reminded that volunteers are needed to help with research and negotiations. There will be a CTA-sponsored forum Nov. 16 to talk with PT faculty regarding the Affordable Care Act. J Gilbert said that the Hayward Prize for Area D honors part-time faculty; nominations are solicited.</p> <p>District Assembly – J Gilbert reported on four points: 1) District and Campus Budget Committees met with consultants. The report is due in January 2014. Chancellor promised the report will be disseminated prior to its official release. S Lillard said that consultants did set up an email, brandymike@gmail.com, to solicit feedback. 2) Our policy is that students aren't permitted to audit classes. Education Code Section 76370 permits but doesn't mandate it; fees cannot exceed \$15 per unit. Jody Hanley has drafted a Board Policy and an Administrative Procedure along with notes to consider. Policies of all 112 CCs were considered. Note: SBVC doesn't collect apportionment funds for auditing students; financial aid is not permitted. Credit students generally get priority. 3) E-cigarette policy will be addressed in January. 4) The Wait List policy either needs extended response time or improved communication with students – which doesn't appear possible. CHC has adopted the two-day standard. He will take the Senate's motion to President Fisher.</p>	<p>Motion by J Hoyt to adopt CHC's Wait List model: allowing two business days for students to register and pay. 2nd by J Lamore. Voice vote – unanimous.</p>
	Public Comments	<p>J Lamore asked if Senate would take a position on AB 955 at the next Board meeting. J Gilbert expressed that his personal policy was not take a specific for/against position but to address the lack of collegial consultation regarding a sister institution being named. When Proposition 30 was being considered, Executive Committee suggested that Senate's role is informational and should not adopt a specific stance. Student Government can forward a statement of its views to Senate.</p> <p>J Hoyt gave personal testimony about his negative experience as an adjunct faculty member regarding the Affordable Care Act. He also reported that an elementary education superintendent has a pay package worth \$529,000 annually.</p>	
	Announcements	A FACCC-hosted UCLA counselor conference is slated for March 29.	
4:25	Adjourned		

[illegible]