## Cut-Score Update for English 015, 101

September, 2011

The purpose of this report is to provide an update to the ongoing evaluation of Accuplacer test cut-scores for SBVC English courses. Accuplacer was employed as the exclusive test for placing SBVC students during the 2009-10 academic year. The initial cut-scores were adopted from Crafton Hills College (CHC). These cut-scores were used for student placement during the trial period and in the first stage of use. English cut-scores were revised in May of 2010. SBVC no longer uses the same cut scores as CHC. Although, SBVC continues to accept all CHC placement recommendations, some of them fall below our revised cut-scores. This report focuses on the effectiveness of the cut-scores that were revised in May, 2010.

Because three semesters of survey data are required to validate the cut-scores, and only one semester of surveys have been conducted with the current cut-scores, this report has no recommendations. The percentage of students who are in the sections recommended by the placement tests-using the current cut-scores-has increased every semester following the revisions. The next wave of survey respondents should have a much larger percentage than the previous administration.

## Methodology:

Placement and prerequisite surveys were administered to nearly all sections of English during the fall 2011 term. The courses included in this report are: English 015, and English 101. Only a small percentage of students in courses for fall 2010 and spring 2011 were placed directly from the Accuplacer test. Most students were in the courses as a result of previous tests, previous Accuplacer cut scores, or after taking the prerequisites.

Grades and Accuplacer scores were downloaded from the Datatel warehouse for all students who enrolled in English courses between fall 2010 and spring 2011. Assessment test scores were grouped into categories that included the current cut scores as one of the interval end-points. The categories that contain endpoints are underlined and contain bold type in the tables below.

| Table 1. English 015 (GSP=60\%) | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative Percent |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 40 to 60 | Valid | A | 5 | 4.9 |


| Table 1. English 015 (GSP=60\%) |  |  | Frequency | Percent | Cumulative Percent |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 to 60 | Valid | A | 5 | 4.9 | 4.9 |  |
|  |  | B | 5 | 4.9 | 9.8 |  |
|  |  | C | 11 | 10.8 | 20.6 |  |
|  |  | D | 30 | 29.4 | 50.0 |  |
|  |  | F | 18 | 17.6 | 67.6 |  |
|  |  | W | 33 | 32.4 | 100.0 |  |
|  |  | Total | 102 | 100.0 |  |  |
| 61 to 78 | Valid | 1 | 19 | 4.8 | 4.8 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 47 | 11.8 | 16.6 |  |
|  |  | 3 | 90 | 22.6 | 39.2 |  |
|  |  | 4 | 89 | 22.4 | 61.6 |  |
|  |  | 5 | 48 | 12.1 | 73.6 |  |
|  |  | 6 | 105 | 26.4 | 100.0 |  |
|  |  | Total | 398 | 100.0 |  |  |
| 79 to 85 | Valid | 1 | 17 | 5.6 | 5.6 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 56 | 18.6 | 24.3 |  |
|  |  | 3 | 74 | 24.6 | 48.8 |  |
|  |  | 4 | 52 | 17.3 | 66.1 |  |
|  |  | 5 | 39 | 13.0 | 79.1 |  |
|  |  | 6 | 62 | 20.6 | 99.7 |  |
|  |  | 9 | 1 | . 3 | 100.0 |  |
|  |  | Total | 301 | 100.0 |  |  |
| 86 to 96 | Valid | 1 | 30 | 11.0 | 11.0 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 68 | 25.0 | 36.0 |  |
|  |  | 3 | 61 | 22.4 | 58.5 |  |
|  |  | 4 | 34 | 12.5 | 71.0 |  |
|  |  | 5 | 35 | 12.9 | 83.8 |  |
|  |  | 6 | 44 | 16.2 | 100.0 |  |
|  |  | Total | 272 | 100.0 |  |  |
| 97 to 130 | Valid | 1 | 2 | 12.5 | 12.5 |  |
|  |  | 2 | 2 | 12.5 | 25.0 |  |
|  |  | 3 | 4 | 25.0 | 50.0 |  |
|  |  | 4 | 2 | 12.5 | 62.5 |  |
|  |  | 5 | 2 | 12.5 | 75.0 |  |
|  |  | 6 | 4 | 25.0 | 100.0 |  |
|  |  | Total | 16 | 100.0 |  |  |

Example cases for students who scored below minimum score of 79 and were able to enroll in ENGL 015.

|  | class | term | Grade | test | Test date | placement | score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0510897 | ENGL-015 | 2011SP | A | VACCUSENT | 05/27/10 | ENGL-015 | 49 |
| 0914638 | ENGL-015 | 2011SP | W | VACCUSENT | 06/01/10 | ENGL-015 | 49 |
| 0947309 | ENGL-015 | 2010FA | D | VACCUSENT | 08/09/10 | ENGL-015 | 49 |
| 0958227 | ENGL-015 | 2011SP | D | VACCUSENT | 09/20/10 | ENGL-015 | 49 |
| 0910196 | ENGL-015 | 2011SP | D | VACCUSENT | 11/22/10 | ENGL-015 | 49 |
| 0938473 | ENGL-015 | 2010FA | D | VACCUSENT | 06/29/10 | ENGL-015 | 50 |
| 0639423 | ENGL-015 | 2010FA | B | VACCUSENT | 05/10/10 | ENGL-015 | 51 |
| 0901284 | ENGL-015 | 2011SP | W | VACCUSENT | 06/15/10 | ENGL-015 | 51 |
| 0920327 | ENGL-015 | 2011SP | D | VACCUSENT | 07/07/10 | ENGL-015 | 51 |
| 0684255 | ENGL-015 | 2011SP | W | VACCUSENT | 07/13/10 | ENGL-015 | 51 |
| 0653870 | ENGL-015 | 2010SP | B | VACCUSENT | 12/22/09 | ENGL-015 | 52 |

Table 2. English 101 (Pass rate for all student =51\%)

| English 101 | Grades Fall 2010 to Spring 2011 |  | Frequency | Percent | Valid Percent | Cumulative <br> Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 91 or less | A | 9 | 19.1 | 19.1 | 19.1 |
|  |  | B | 8 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 36.2 |
|  |  | C | 6 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 48.9 |
|  |  | D | 3 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 55.3 |
|  |  | F | 7 | 14.9 | 14.9 | 70.2 |
|  |  | W | 14 | 29.8 | 29.8 | 100.0 |
|  |  | Total | 47 | 100.0 | 100.0 |  |
|  | 92 to 98 | A | 12 | 10.4 | 10.4 | 10.4 |
|  |  | B | 28 | 24.3 | 24.3 | 34.8 |
|  |  | C | 23 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 54.8 |


|  | D | 12 | 10.4 | 10.4 | 65.2 |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | F | 14 | 12.2 | 12.2 | 77.4 |
|  | W | 26 | 100.0 |  |  |
|  | Total | 22.6 | 15 | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Example cases for students who scored below minimum score of 99.

| 0830167 ENGL-101 | 2010FA | D | 0830167 | VACCUSENT | 20 | 01/05/10 | ENGL-101 | 76 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0845701 ENGL-101 | 2010FA | B | 0845701 | VACCUSENT | 20 | 01/05/10 | ENGL-101 | 82 |
| 0701960 ENGL-101 | 2010SP | D | 0701960 | VACCUSENT | 20 | 01/06/10 | ENGL-101 | 90 |
| 0600954 ENGL-101 | 2010SP | W | 0600954 | VACCUSENT | 20 | 01/07/10 | ENGL-101 | 81 |
| 0226928 ENGL-101 | 2011SP | B | 0226928 | VACCUSENT | 20 | 01/07/10 | ENGL-101 | 93 |
| 0680282 ENGL-101 | 2010SP | W | 0680282 | VACCUSENT | 20 | 01/07/10 | ENGL-101 | 92 |
| 0880947 ENGL-101 | 2010SP | B | 0880947 | VACCUSENT | 20 | 01/14/10 | ENGL-101 | 90 |
| 0881653 ENGL-101 | 2011SP | B | 0881653 | VACCUSENT | 20 | 01/21/10 | ENGL-101 | 91 |
| 0800469 ENGL-101 | 2010FA | C | 0800469 | VACCUSENT | 20 | 01/22/10 | ENGL-101 | 93 |
| 0750895 ENGL-101 | 2010FA | W | 0750895 | VACCUSENT | 20 | 01/27/10 | ENGL-101 | 87 |
| 0632418 ENGL-101 | 2010FA | A | 0632418 | VACCUSENT | 20 | 01/28/10 | ENGL-101 | 87 |

Placement and Prerequisite Survey Responses

| Accuplacer Placements Fall 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student's assessment Knowledge | Count | \% | Instructor's assessment of Knowledge | cnt | \% |
| Yes, overqualified................. | 12 | 2\% | Yes, overqualified....................... | 1 | .4\% |
| Yes, very much so.................. | 137 | 56\% | Yes, very much so.. | 71 | 29\% |
| Yes, but not completely ........ | 82 | 33\% | Yes, but not completely .............. | 84 | 34\% |
| Yes, but barely | 10 | 4\% | Yes, but barely... | 49 | 20\% |
| No, not at all. | 1 | .4\% | No, not at all............................ | 18 | 7\% |
|  |  |  | (blank) | 23 | 9\% |
| Grand Total | 246 |  | Grand Total | 246 |  |


| Non-Accuplacer Placements Fall 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Student's assessment Knowledge | count | \% | Instructor's assessment of Knowledge | count | \% |
| Yes, overqualified......... 1 | 26 | 5\% | Yes, overqualified.......................... 1 | 1 |  |
| Yes, very much so......... 2 | 272 | 54\% | Yes, very much so.......................... 2 | 108 | 21\% |
| Yes, but not completely ...... 3 | 172 | 34\% | Yes, but not completely ................. 3 | 205 | 41\% |
| Yes, but barely.......... 4 | 22 | 4\% | Yes, but barely............................ 4 | 110 | 22\% |
|  |  | 2\% | No, not at all............................. 5 | 43 | 8\% |
| (blank) | 12 |  | (blank) | 37 | 7\% |
| Grand Total | 504 |  | Grand Total | 504 |  |


| Non- Accuplacer |  | Accuplacer |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Total | Grade | Total |
| A.............................9.72\% | 49 | A...............................10.57\% | 26 |
| B............................19.05\% | 96 | B................................22.76\% | 56 |
| C............................26.59\% (55.36\%) | 134 | C................................23.17\% (57.5\%) | 57 |
| D..........................14.09\% | 71 | D...............................19.23\% | 49 |
| F.............................7.54\% | 38 | F..................................9.35\% | 23 |
| W............................ $22.82 \%$ (blank) | 115 | W..............................14.23\% | 35 |
| Grand Total | 504 | Grand Total | 246 |

## Preliminary Matriculation Report

Students with Accuplacer placements had a slightly higher pass rate than students who were in the class as the result of previous tests, prerequisites, tests for other schools, etc. A pattern that continues to emerge from the data is that students who enroll in courses within a semester or two of taking the assessment test tend to do better than those who delay taking the course. This may be an example of that effect.

