## **Program SAO Summary Evaluation Form**

Division/Program: Scholarship Office		Lead Evaluator: Joseph Nguyen
Semester Evaluated: Fall 2013 and Spring 2014		Double in cutor Foundation Office and community
Next Evaluation: Spring 2015		Participants: Foundation Office and campus community
Service Area Outcome Statement	Students who visit and /or participate in assessment will be satisfied with the scholarship information and positive and helpful service they receive from the Scholarship Office.	
Strategic Initiatives aligned with	☐ Access ☐ Student Success ☐ Facilities ☐ Communication, Culture, & Climate	
the SAO.	☐ Leadership & Professional Development ☐ Effective Evaluation and Accountability	
SAO Assessment Tool	SBVC Scholarship Satisfaction Survey	
Criteria – What is "good enough"?	90% Good or the best rating and 90% indicate that they would use our service again.	
Rubric		
What are the results of the	81 surveys conducted with 40 male and 41 female students (10.4% Asian, 19.5% Black, 22.1%	
assessment? Are the results	White and 48.1% Hispanic)	
satisfactory?	98% rated the overall service good. 96% rated quality of scholarship materials good. 92%	
	rated the availability of scholarship materials good. 90% rated scholarship website and	
	scholarship workshops good. 91% rated the SBVC online scholarship application good and 92%	
	rated the Scholarship Award Ceremony good.	
Were trends evident in the	Overall students are very satisfied with the information and service they received. Several	
outcomes?	students have provided comments and they are all very positive.	
Are there gaps?		
What content, structure, strategies	Continue to improve on the current internal application process and expand more on the	
might improve outcomes?	external scholarships. Working closely with the Foundation Office to reengineer the internal	
	scholarship disbursement process.	
Will you change evaluation and/or		
assessment method and or	No current change is planned	
criteria?		
Evidence of Dialogue	Check any that apply	
(Attach representative	$\square$ E-mail Discussion with $\square$ FT Faculty $\square$ Adjunct Faculty $\boxtimes$ Staff : Ongoing discussion with	
samples of evidence)	Foundation office staff throughout the academic year	
,		re(s): □ Division Meetings. Date(s): X□ Campus Committees.
	· -	with Scholarship Chair Person throughout the academic year
	Date(s). Origoning discussion	with Scholarship Chair Ferson throughout the academic year
Will you rewrite the SAOs	We will continue with this Sa	AO throughout the next academic year
Response to program outcome	☐ Professional Developmen	t x □Intra-departmental changes
evaluation and assessment? How	☐ Curriculum action X Requests for resources and/or services	
were/are results used for program	Dearnealian action & nequests for resources analytics	
improvement.	☑ Program Planning /Student Success	
improvement.		